arXiv:math/0610275v1 [math.NT] 9 Oct 2006

On exponentially coprime integers

László Tóth (Pécs, Hungary)

Pure Math. Appl. (PU.M.A.), 15 (2004), 343-348

Abstract

The integers $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}$ and $m = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{b_i}$ having the same prime factors are called exponentially coprime if $(a_i, b_i) = 1$ for every $1 \le i \le r$. We estimate the number of pairs of exponentially coprime integers $n, m \le x$ having the prime factors $p_1, ..., p_r$ and show that the asymptotic density of pairs of exponentially coprime integers having r fixed prime divisors is $(\zeta(2))^{-r}$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 11A05, 11A25, 11N37

1. Introduction

Let $n > 1$ be an integer of canonical form $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}$. The integer d is called an *exponential divisor* of n if $d = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{c_i}$, where $c_i | a_i$ for every $1 \le i \le r$, notation: $d|_e n$. By convention $1|_e 1$. This notion was introduced by M. V. Subbarao [6]. The smallest exponential divisor of $n > 1$ is its squarefree kernel $\kappa(n) := \prod_{i=1}^r p_i$.

Let $\tau^{(e)}(n) = \sum_{d|_e n} 1$ and $\sigma^{(e)}(n) = \sum_{d|_e n} d$ denote the number and the sum of exponential divisors of n, respectively. Properties of these functions were investigated by several authors, see [1], [2], [3], [5], [6], [8].

Two integers $n, m > 1$ have common exponential divisors iff they have the same prime factors and for $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}$, $m = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{b_i}$, $a_i, b_i \ge 1$ $(1 \le i \le r)$, the *greatest common exponential divisor* of n and m is

$$(n, m)_e := \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{(a_i, b_i)}.$$

Here $(1,1)_e = 1$ by convention and $(1, m)_e$ does not exist for $m > 1$.

The integers $n, m > 1$ are called *exponentially coprime*, if they have the same prime factors and $(a_i, b_i) = 1$ for every $1 \le i \le r$, with the notation of above. In this case $(n, m)_e = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i$. 1 and 1 are considered to be exponentially coprime. 1 and $m > 1$ are not exponentially coprime. Exponentially coprime integers were introduced by J. Sándor [4].

Let p_i $(1 \le i \le r)$ be fixed distinct primes and let $P^{(e)}(p_1, ..., p_r; x)$ denote the number of pairs $\langle n, m \rangle$ of exponentially coprime integers such that $\kappa(n) = \kappa(m) = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i$ and $n, m \le x$.

In this note we estimate $P^{(e)}(p_1, ..., p_r; x)$ and show that the asymptotic density of pairs of exponentially coprime integers having r fixed prime divisors is $(\zeta(2))^{-r}$.

As an open problem we formulate the following: What can be said on the asymptotic density of pairs of exponentially coprime integers if their prime divisors are not fixed ?

2. Results

For a real $x \geq 1$ and an integer $n \geq 1$ consider the Legendre-type function $L^{(e)}(x,n)$ defined as the number of integers $k \leq x$ such that k and n are exponentially coprime.

The following estimate holds:

Theorem 1. *Let $r \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and $p_1, ..., p_r$ be fixed distinct primes. Then uniformly for the real $x \geq 3$ and $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{a_i}$ with $a_1, ..., a_r \geq 1$,*

$$L^{(e)}(x,n) = \frac{1}{r!}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\phi(a_i)}{a_i \log p_i}\right)(\log x)^r + O\left((\log x)^{r-1}\sum_{i=1}^r \theta(a_i)\right), \tag{1}$$

where $\phi(a)$ is Euler's function and $\theta(a)$ denotes the number of squarefree divisors of a.

Let $N(p_1, ..., p_r; x)$ denote the number of integers $n \leq x$ having the kernel $\kappa(n) = p_1 \cdots p_r$. Taking $a_1 = \cdots = a_r = 1$ we obtain from Theorem 1 the following known estimate, cf. for ex. [7], Ch. III.5 regarding integers free of large prime factors.

Corollary 1.

$$N(p_1, ..., p_r; x) = \frac{1}{r!}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{\log p_i}\right)(\log x)^r + O((\log x)^{r-1}), \tag{2}$$

Theorem 2. *Let $r \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and $p_1, ..., p_r$ be fixed distinct primes. Then*

$$P^{(e)}(p_1, ..., p_r; x) = \frac{1}{(r!)^2(\zeta(2))^r}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{(\log p_i)^2}\right)(\log x)^{2r} + O\left((\log x)^{2r-1}\log\log x\right). \tag{3}$$

Corollary 2. *The asymptotic density of pairs of exponentially coprime integers having r fixed prime divisors is $(\zeta(2))^{-r}$.*

3. Proofs

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are by induction on r, while Corollary 3 follows from Theorem 2 and Corollary 1. First we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $r \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and $t_1, ..., t_r > 0$ be fixed real numbers. Then uniformly for the real $z \geq 1$ and the integers $a_1, ..., a_r \geq 1$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1t_1+\cdots+k_rt_r \leq z \\ (k_1,a_1)=\cdots=(k_r,a_r)=1 \\ k_1,...,k_r \geq 1}} 1 = \frac{1}{r!}\left(\prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\phi(a_i)}{a_i t_i}\right) z^r + O\left(z^{r-1}\sum_{i=1}^r \theta(a_i)\right). \tag{4}$$

Proof of Lemma 1. We will use the well-known estimate: if $s \geq 0$, then

$$\phi_s(z,a) := \sum_{\substack{n \leq z \\ (n,a)=1}} n^s = \frac{z^{s+1}\phi(a)}{(s+1)a} + O(z^s\theta(a)), \tag{5}$$

uniformly for $z \geq 1$ and $a \geq 1$.

Induction on r. For $r = 1$ (4) follows from (5) applied for $s = 0$. Suppose formula (4) is valid for $r - 1$ and prove it for r.

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1t_1+\cdots+k_rt_r\le z\\ (k_1,a_1)=\cdots=(k_r,a_r)=1\\ k_1,\ldots,k_r\ge 1}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{k_rt_r\le z-t_1-\cdots-t_{r-1}\\ (k_r,a_r)=1\\ k_r\ge 1}} \sum_{\substack{k_1t_1+\cdots+k_{r-1}t_{r-1}\le z-k_rt_r\\ (k_1,a_1)=\cdots=(k_{r-1},a_{r-1})=1\\ k_1,\ldots,k_{r-1}\ge 1}} 1$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{k_rt_r\le z-t_1-\cdots-t_{r-1}\\ (k_r,a_r)=1\\ k_r\ge 1}} \left(\frac{1}{(r-1)!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{\phi(a_i)}{a_it_i} \right) (z-k_rt_r)^{r-1} + O\left(z^{r-2} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \theta(a_i) \right) \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{\phi(a_i)}{a_it_i} \right) \sum_{\substack{k_rt_r\le z-t_1-\cdots-t_{r-1}\\ (k_r,a_r)=1\\ k_r\ge 1}} (z-k_rt_r)^{r-1} + O\left(z^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \theta(a_i) \right).$$

Using the binomial formula and estimate (5) the sum appearing here is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \binom{r-1}{j} z^{r-1-j} t_r^j \sum_{\substack{k_rt_r\le z-t_1-\cdots-t_{r-1}\\ (k_r,a_r)=1\\ k_r\ge 1}} k_r^j$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \binom{r-1}{j} z^{r-1-j} t_r^j \left(\frac{(z-t_1-\cdots-t_{r-1})^{j+1}\phi(a_r)}{(j+1)t_r^{j+1}a_r} + O(z^j\theta(a_r)) \right)$$

$$= \frac{\phi(a_r)}{t_ra_r} z^r \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (-1)^j \binom{r-1}{j} \frac{1}{j+1} + O(z^{r-1}\theta(a_r))$$

$$= \frac{\phi(a_r)}{rt_ra_r} z^r + O(z^{r-1}\theta(a_r))$$

and the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1. Apply Lemma 1 for $z = \log x$, $t_1 = \log p_1, ..., t_r = \log p_r$.

In order to prove Theorem 2 we need

Lemma 2. *Let $r \ge 1$ be a fixed integer and $t_1, ..., t_r > 0$ be fixed real numbers. Then for $z \ge 3$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1t_1+\cdots+k_rt_r\le z\\ k_1,\ldots,k_r\ge 1}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\phi(k_i)}{k_i} = \frac{1}{r!(\zeta(2))^r} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{t_i} \right) z^r + O\left(z^{r-1}\log z \right). \tag{6}$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Induction on r, similar to the proof of Lemma 1. We use the well-known estimate: let $s \ge -1$ be a real number, then for $z \ge 3$,

$$\sum_{n\le z} \phi(n)n^s = \frac{z^{s+2}}{(s+2)\zeta(2)} + O(z^{s+1}\log z). \tag{7}$$

For $r=1$ (6) follows from (7) applied for $s=-1$. Suppose formula (6) is valid for $r-1$ and prove it for r.

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1t_1+\cdots+k_rt_r\le z\\ k_1,\ldots,k_r\ge 1}} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\phi(k_i)}{k_i} = \sum_{\substack{k_rt_r\le z-t_1-\cdots-t_{r-1}\\ k_r\ge 1}} \frac{\phi(k_r)}{k_r} \sum_{\substack{k_1t_1+\cdots+k_{r-1}t_{r-1}\le z-k_rt_r\\ k_1,\ldots,k_{r-1}\ge 1}} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \frac{\phi(k_i)}{k_i}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{k_rt_r\le z-t_1-\cdots-t_{r-1}\\ k_r\ge 1}} \frac{\phi(k_r)}{k_r} \left(\frac{1}{(r-1)!(\zeta(2))^{r-1}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1}\frac{1}{t_i}\right)(z-k_rt_r)^{r-1} + O\left(z^{r-2}\log z\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(r-1)!(\zeta(2))^{r-1}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r-1}\frac{1}{t_i}\right) \sum_{\substack{k_rt_r\le z-t_1-\cdots-t_{r-1}\\ k_r\ge 1}} \frac{\phi(k_r)}{k_r}(z-k_rt_r)^{r-1} + O\left(z^{r-1}\log z\right).$$

The sum appearing here is, applying (7),

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}(-1)^j\binom{r-1}{j} z^{r-1-j}t_r^j \sum_{\substack{k_rt_r\le z-t_1-\cdots-t_{r-1}\\ k_r\ge 1}} \phi(k_r)k_r^{j-1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{r-1}(-1)^j\binom{r-1}{j} z^{r-1-j}t_r^j \left(\frac{(z-t_1-\cdots-t_{r-1})^{j+1}}{(j+1)t_r^{j+1}\zeta(2)} + O(z^j\log z)\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{t_r\zeta(2)} z^r \sum_{j=0}^{r-1}(-1)^j\binom{r-1}{j}\frac{1}{j+1} + O(z^{r-1}\log z)$$

$$= \frac{1}{rt_r\zeta(2)} z^r + O(z^{r-1}\log z),$$

which completes the proof.

Lemma 3. *Let $r\ge 1$ be a fixed integer and $t_1,\ldots,t_r>0$ be fixed real numbers. Then for $z\ge 3$,*

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1t_1+\cdots+k_rt_r\le z\\ j_1t_1+\cdots+j_rt_r\le z\\ (k_1,j_1)=\cdots=(k_r,j_r)=1\\ k_1,j_1,\ldots,k_r,j_r\ge 1}} 1 = \frac{1}{(r!)^2(\zeta(2))^r}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{1}{t_i^2}\right) z^{2r} + O\left(z^{2r-1}\log z\right). \tag{8}$$

Proof of Lemma 3. Using estimate (4),

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1t_1+\cdots+k_rt_r\le z\\ j_1t_1+\cdots+j_rt_r\le z\\ (k_1,j_1)=\cdots=(k_r,j_r)=1\\ k_1,j_1,\ldots,k_r,j_r\ge 1}} 1 = \sum_{\substack{k_1t_1+\cdots+k_rt_r\le z\\ k_1,\ldots,k_r\ge 1}} \sum_{\substack{j_1t_1+\cdots+j_rt_r\le z\\ (j_1,k_1)=\cdots=(j_r,k_r)=1\\ j_1,\ldots,j_r\ge 1}} 1$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{k_1t_1+\cdots+k_rt_r\le z\\ k_1,\ldots,k_r\ge 1}} \left(\frac{1}{r!}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{r}\frac{\phi(k_i)}{k_it_i}\right) z^r + O\left(z^{r-1}\sum_{i=1}^{r}\theta(k_i)\right)\right)$$

$$= \frac{z^r}{r!\prod_{i=1}^r t_i} \sum_{\substack{k_1t_1+\cdots+k_rt_r\le z\\ k_1,\ldots,k_r\ge 1}} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\phi(k_i)}{k_i} + O\left(z^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{k_1t_1+\cdots+k_rt_r\le z} \theta(k_i) \right)$$

here the O-term is $O(z^{r-1}z^{r-1}z\log z) = O(z^{2r-1}\log z)$ and applying Lemma 2 to the main term finishes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. Apply Lemma 3 for $z = \log x$, $t_1 = \log p_1, ..., t_r = \log p_r$.

Proof of Corollary 2. This is a direct consequence of Theorem 2 and Corollary 1. The considered asymptotic density is

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} P^{(e)}(p_1, ..., p_r; x)(N(p_1, ..., p_r; x))^{-2} = (\zeta(2))^{-r}.$$

References

[1] J. Fabrykowski and M. V. Subbarao, The maximal order and the average order of multiplicative function $\sigma^{(e)}(n)$, *Théorie des nombres. Proc. of the Int. Conf. Québec, 1987*, de Gruyter, Berlin – New York, 1989, 201-206.

[2] I. Kátai and M. V. Subbarao, On the distribution of exponential divisors, *Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Sect. Comp.*, **22** (2003), 161-180.

[3] Y. - F. S. Pétermann and J. Wu, On the sum of exponential divisors of an integer, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.*, **77** (1997), 159-175.

[4] J. Sándor, On an exponential totient function, *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math.*, **41** (1996), 91-94.

[5] A. Smati and J. Wu, On the exponential divisor function, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N. S.)*, **61** (1997), 21-32.

[6] M. V. Subbarao, On some arithmetic convolutions, in *The Theory of Arithmetic Functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics No. **251**, 247-271, Springer, 1972.

[7] G. Tenenbaum, *Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.

[8] J. Wu, Problème de diviseurs exponentiels et entiers exponentiellement sans facteur carré, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux*, **7** (1995), 133-141.

László Tóth
University of Pécs
Institute of Mathematics and Informatics
Ifjúság u. 6
7624 Pécs, Hungary
ltoth@ttk.pte.hu